


# RV002 - Slender Ovoid Jar

An Exploration of Precision




Author: Stine Gerdes, [arcsoci.org](http://arcsoci.org)

License: Creative Commons BY-NC-SA 4.0

Date: 2025-04-04

Version: 01.00



Scientists Against Myths

Contents

Artifact Information ..... 2

Alignment In The Cartesian Coordinate System ..... 3

Precision ..... 5

    Circularity ..... 5

    Concentricity ..... 21

    Coaxiality ..... 29

    Surface Variability ..... 32

    Precision Score Of The Artifact ..... 39

Analysis Roadmap ..... 41

Appendix A - Comparison Of Circularity Measurements (Z-plane vs. surface-perpendicular) ..... 42

Appendix B - Comparison Of Concentricity Measurements (Z-plane vs. surface-perpendicular) ..... 49

# Artifact Information

## Artifact Data

Collection	In private collection
Provenance <sup>1</sup>	NA
Provenience <sup>2</sup>	NA
Attribution	NA

## Art dealer information

Ref.	Replica created using hand tools and a ball-bearing rotary table
Description	Modern replica - Produced by Olga Vdovina and Yulia Gukasova in collaboration with Scientists Against Myths
URL	<a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EGue2gO36ck">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EGue2gO36ck</a>

## Maijers vessel classification<sup>3</sup>

Short classification	Slender Ovoid Jar
Long classification	The vessel is created in a closed form classified as a slender jar with a ovoid shape, a rounded rim.

## Physical properties

Precision score <sup>4</sup>	47
Height (approximate)	151 mm    5.94 in
Width (approximate)	74 mm    2.91 in
Material	Diorite
Mohs Hardness <sup>5</sup>	5.5 - 7 (Diorite)
Weight	

## Scan information

Source	Scanned by Scientists Against Myths
Source file name	SAM_Vaza_1_Model_00.obj
Scan method	Unknown
Scanner	Unknown
Rated scan accuracy	Not specified
Scan date	Unknown
Scanned by	Unknown

Mesh decimation	Unknown
Number of vertices	253 378
Mesh density <sup>6</sup>	265 µm   10.45 thou
Max vertex distance	744 µm   29.301 thou
Min vertex distance	0 µm   0.000 thou
Vertices per cm <sup>2</sup>	952 (approximated)
Vertices per in <sup>2</sup>	6141 (approximated)

---

<sup>1</sup>The verifiable chain of custody of an artifact

<sup>2</sup>The location or site where an artifact was recovered

<sup>3</sup>Vessel artifact classification developed by W. Arnold Maijer and described in his publication Masters of Stone, ISBN 978-90-829212-0-5

<sup>4</sup>The precision score metric is described in Precision Score Of The Artifact, p. 40

<sup>5</sup>The Mohs scale is an ordinal scale, from 1 to 10, describing the materials resistance to abrasion (the ability of harder material to scratch softer material)

<sup>6</sup>Median distance between vertices

## Alignment In The Cartesian Coordinate System

For precise and valid measurements of the vessel's geometry to be possible, the points of the scanned dataset must first and foremost be placed optimally in a Cartesian coordinate system. Several alignment methods and algorithms have been tested on a number of different vessels to determine the best way to achieve optimal alignment.

Any misalignment of the artifact will increase the error of the precision measurements, due to the distortion/wobble effect caused by the misaligned object. To visualize this distortion, we can consider a representation of the three-dimensional point cloud data, folded to a two-dimensional plane. This folded representation is obtained by rotating all scanned points around an assumed center axis to  $y = 0, x > 0$ , thus resulting in a two-dimensional profile representation of all scanned vertices in the object.

Figure 1 illustrates this effect on a ideal ellipsoid. In the first image, the ellipsoid is perfectly aligned, resulting in a narrow and precise two-dimensional folded profile. As misalignments are introduced, the two-dimensional profile increases in width, visually showing the distortion, causing the error in the precision measurements to increase. While easy to understand visually, this distortion can also be objectively quantified, and as such used to compare the fitness of different assumed center axes against each other, and further to create an automated and solid process for optimal Cartesian alignment of the scan data.




Figure 1: Distortion caused by a misalignment of the artifact

In contemporary metrology analysis of modern production objects, it is common to align the object in a Cartesian coordinate system by fitting a flat surface of the object to a reference plane in the coordinate system, cylindrical features to an ideal cylinder etc., or by using specific markers placed on the object in the design process. This methodology, however, is inadequate for the ancient objects in question. Most scanned artifacts, do not have a valid flat surface which could be aligned to a plane in the Cartesian coordinate system; most surfaces seem to be curved. Some artifacts do have a flat base, however this is often a worn area of the artifact and practical tests have shown that alignment to such surfaces will not produce optimal alignment of the scan data.

As conventional methods of alignment do not always yield good results with these types of artifacts, a more adequate method of alignment has been developed to enable precise measurements and statistical analysis of the scan data.



To find the optimal position of the vessel in the coordinate system, a range of rotation and translation tests are carried out to find the best fit of the central axis.

Based on the assumption that the analyzed object was created using a rotational process, and thus have symmetry around a central axis, the alignment of the artifact is carried out in a two-step process. An overview of this process is given below.

The artifact is placed in a Cartesian coordinate system, in an initially unaligned state. The first step in the alignment process estimates the central rotational axis of the vessel, by analyzing the coaxiality of thin cross-section slices of the vessel. The slices will be as thin as possible based on the mesh density of the scan, while still ensuring enough data points in each slice to be statistically valid.

For each slice, circular regression<sup>7</sup> (estimate of best fit circle) is used to estimate the center point of this slice. Combined over the total Z-axis range of the vessel, these center points provide us with an indicator of the incline and position of the vessel's central axis.

The next step will optimize the center axis alignment by progressively minimizing the deviation (perpendicular to the surface curvature) of the two-dimensional profile, see Figure 1. By ascertaining and comparing the resulting fit of many thousands of different potential rotations, the best fit alignment of the scan data can be estimated, and an optimal center axis (in relation to the data points) can be reconstructed. The actual three-dimensional point-cloud is then aligned to this axis, by rotating and translating the scanned data points to match the Z-axis of the Cartesian coordinate system.

---

<sup>7</sup>Circle regression algorithm used: Kenichi Kanatani, Prasanna Rangarajan, "Hyper least squares fitting of circles and ellipses" Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, Vol. 55, pages 2197-2208, (2011)

## Precision

To explore the manufacturing precision of the artifact in depth, the following analysis have been carried out:

- Circularity around the axis of symmetry is examined in detail at selected cross-sections.
- Overall circularity around the axis of symmetry is measured for the full height of the vessel (areas of the vessel with extensive damage are not taken into account for this metric).
- Concentricity of the vessel between selected cross-sections are examined in detail to determine if the existence of an axis of rotation in the manufacture of the object can be established.
- The coaxiality of the vessel is analyzed to explore the precision of the central axis of the object.
- The surface variability is analyzed and visualized on through a heatmap.

## Circularity

Circularity is the measurement of how round the surface of an object is, optionally in reference to a datum axis. The *circularity tolerance* is the radial distance of two circles, each with their centers in the datum axis, and each of them conforming, respectively, to the minimum and maximum deviations of the data-set to a true circle, see Figure 2.




Figure 2: Circularity tolerance.

Circularity is examined at different cross-sections of the vessel, using the established Z-axis as the datum axis (axis of symmetry). The distance between the scanned points in the local datum plane is measured to determine the range between the two concentric circles encompassing the measured points, see Figure 3.

Referencing all of the individual circularity measurements to the global (reconstructed) axis of symmetry of the object, allows us to ascertain not only circularity of local features of the object, but how well circularity was *maintained* over the entire manufacturing process. This is an important distinction, which may be able to provide valuable insights into requirements of the construction methods. For reference, and seeing that the variance in local circularity also holds interest, measurements of circularity of the vessel without reference to the axis of symmetry can additionally be found in the Concentricity, p. 22.



Figure 3: Circularity measurements.

If the circularity is determined from slices of the vessel exclusively in the *Z-plane* (actually measuring the cylindricity of a very thin slices of the vessel, in an attempt to approximate circularity), this would - in some areas - introduce significant distortion (increasing measurement errors) in the samples, due to the curvature of the vessel's surface.

Each sample slice of the vessel is therefore obtained perpendicular to the surface curvature, see Figure 5 to Figure 10. The measurements are taken conservatively without filtration of potential outliers.

To explore the potential distortion caused by obtaining samples in the Z-plane only, please refer to Appendix A, where measurements in the Z-plane and measurements perpendicular to surface curvature are compared side by side.

### **Detailed circularity measurements of selected points**

Circularity measurements across a range of selected slices of the vessel (see Table 1) have been analyzed in-depth, and detailed plots of each measurement is provided. Furthermore, full circularity measurements are shown for each available scanned surface including a detailed plot to visualize the circularity of all areas of the vessel.




Figure 4: Circularity measurement sample location on RV002.

#### Metric

Tag	Area	Measured deviation <sup>8</sup>	Residuals				Sample size	Slice		
			Range	RMSD <sup>9</sup>	MAD <sup>10</sup>	SD		Height	Z coord.	Radius <sup>11</sup>
		mm	mm	mm	mm	mm		mm	mm	mm
c01	exterior	Ø60.651±0.371	0.648	0.159	0.138	0.159	173	0.150	136.935	30.326
c02	exterior	Ø72.265±0.308	0.483	0.100	0.064	0.094	148	0.150	100.823	36.133
c03	exterior	Ø73.389±0.418	0.659	0.132	0.095	0.131	220	0.150	72.274	36.694
c04	exterior	Ø69.129±0.372	0.600	0.110	0.069	0.110	181	0.150	43.725	34.565
c05	exterior	Ø54.782±0.299	0.489	0.100	0.086	0.100	146	0.150	15.176	27.391
c06	interior	Ø45.311±1.442	2.689	0.733	0.623	0.735	211	0.150	136.935	22.655

#### Imperial

Tag	Area	Measured deviation <sup>8</sup>	Residuals				Sample size	Slice		
			Range	RMSD <sup>9</sup>	MAD <sup>10</sup>	SD		Height	Z coord.	Radius <sup>11</sup>
		in	in	in	in	in		in	in	in
c01	exterior	Ø2.3878±0.0146	0.0255	0.0063	0.0054	0.0063	173	0.0059	5.3911	1.1939
c02	exterior	Ø2.8451±0.0121	0.0190	0.0039	0.0025	0.0037	148	0.0059	3.9694	1.4225
c03	exterior	Ø2.8893±0.0164	0.0259	0.0052	0.0037	0.0052	220	0.0059	2.8454	1.4447
c04	exterior	Ø2.7216±0.0146	0.0236	0.0043	0.0027	0.0043	181	0.0059	1.7214	1.3608
c05	exterior	Ø2.1568±0.0118	0.0193	0.0039	0.0034	0.0039	146	0.0059	0.5975	1.0784
c06	interior	Ø1.7839±0.0568	0.1059	0.0289	0.0245	0.0289	211	0.0059	5.3911	0.8919

Table 1: Detailed circularity measurements at selected samples of RV002.

Figure 5 to Figure 10 shows a detailed plots of each circularity measurement.

<sup>8</sup>Sample diameter Ø± maximum measured deviation from measured radius

<sup>9</sup>Root mean square deviation (RMSD) also called Root mean square error (RMSE)

<sup>10</sup>Median absolute deviation

<sup>11</sup>Median sample radius from z-axis

Graphical overview of circularity measurement c01




Figure 5: Charts with statistics for the measurement of c01.

Graphical overview of circularity measurement c02




Figure 6: Charts with statistics for the measurement of c02.

## Graphical overview of circularity measurement c03




Figure 7: Charts with statistics for the measurement of c03.

Graphical overview of circularity measurement c04




Figure 8: Charts with statistics for the measurement of c04.



Graphical overview of circularity measurement c05




Figure 9: Charts with statistics for the measurement of c05.

Graphical overview of circularity measurement c06




Figure 10: Charts with statistics for the measurement of c06.

Table 2 shows statistical measures of the circularity of the vessel, measured along the full height (damaged parts may reduce the measurement area).

Metric											
Area	Range			Standard Deviation			Median Absolute Deviation			Slices	Slice height
	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.		
	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm		
Exterior	0.533	0.302	1.784	0.112	0.058	0.446	0.014	0.026	0.351	980	0.150
Interior	2.477	1.702	3.022	0.666	0.514	0.855	0.052	0.295	0.875	153	0.150

Imperial											
Area	Range			Standard Deviation			Median Absolute Deviation			Slices	Slice height
	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.		
	in	in	in	in	in	in	in	in	in		
Exterior	0.533	0.302	1.784	0.112	0.058	0.446	0.014	0.026	0.351	980	0.150
Interior	2.477	1.702	3.022	0.666	0.514	0.855	0.052	0.295	0.875	153	0.150

Table 2: Perpendicular Circularity analysis of RV002.

Circularity analysis of exterior surface




Figure 11: Circularity of exterior surface.

Circularity analysis of exterior surface, Standard Deviation and Median Absolute Deviation




Figure 12: Vessel circularity of exterior surface, standard deviation and median absolute deviation.

The distributions of the circularity measurements across 980 slices of the exterior surface are shown below.

### Range measurement distribution across 980 slices of exterior surface




Figure 13: Range measurement distribution across measured slices of exterior surface

### Standard deviation measurement distribution across 980 slices of exterior surface




Figure 14: Standard deviation measurement distribution across measured slices of " + exterior + " surface

### Median absolute deviation measurement distribution across 980 slices of exterior surface




Figure 15: Median absolute deviation measurement distribution across measured slices of exterior surface

Circularity analysis of interior surface




Figure 16: Circularity of interior surface.

Circularity analysis of interior surface, Standard Deviation and Median Absolute Deviation




Figure 17: Vessel circularity of interior surface, standard deviation and median absolute deviation.



The distributions of the circularity measurements across 153 slices of the interior surface are shown below.

### Range measurement distribution across 153 slices of interior surface




Figure 18: Range measurement distribution across measured slices of interior surface

### Standard deviation measurement distribution across 153 slices of interior surface




Figure 19: Standard deviation measurement distribution across measured slices of " + interior + " surface

### Median absolute deviation measurement distribution across 153 slices of interior surface




Figure 20: Median absolute deviation measurement distribution across measured slices of interior surface

## Concentricity

The concentricity metric describes the deviation in the center-point of the referenced features. As such, it is a measure to determine if several features of the object share the same center point/axis, and how closely. See Figure 21 for a visual representation of this metric.




Figure 21: Concentricity measures the deviation (distance) between the center of two circles.

Determination of concentricity has been carried out by establishing the best fit circles of sample slices, using RANSAC (Random sample consensus) algorithm for outlier detection of a least squares circle regression on the scanned data-points at each cross-section, to estimate centers of each cross-section.

The concentricity between both the interior and exterior circular cross-sections is explored for cross-section measurements with the same Z-coordinates.

Additionally, the concentricity between each cross-section measurement defined in Figure 4 and the datum axis  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$  has been calculated to establish the deviation of the feature center from the datum axis.




Figure 22: Concentricity measurement sample location on RV002.

### Metric

Tag	Reference	Deviation	Sample size	Circle fit residuals analysis for sample listed in Tag column						
				Range full	Range inliers	SD full	SD inliers	MAD full	MAD inliers	Center (x,y)
		mm		mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	μm
c01	z-axis	0.045	173	0.648	0.648	0.159	0.159	0.140	0.140	40, 21
c02	z-axis	0.019	148	0.483	0.483	0.094	0.094	0.066	0.066	11, 15
c03	z-axis	0.049	220	0.659	0.570	0.131	0.123	0.089	0.087	−1, 49
c04	z-axis	0.033	181	0.600	0.509	0.110	0.100	0.068	0.063	33, 5
c05	z-axis	0.065	146	0.489	0.416	0.100	0.097	0.086	0.085	−3, −65
c06	z-axis	0.764	211	2.689	2.689	0.735	0.735	0.637	0.637	−362, 673
c01	c06	0.766	173	0.648	0.648	0.159	0.159	0.140	0.140	402, −651

### Imperial

Tag	Reference	Deviation	Sample size	Circle fit residuals analysis for sample listed in Tag column						
				Range full	Range inliers	SD full	SD inliers	MAD full	MAD inliers	Center (x,y)
		in		in	in	in	in	in	in	thou
c01	z-axis	0.0018	173	0.0255	0.0255	0.0063	0.0063	0.0055	0.0055	1.6, 0.8
c02	z-axis	0.0007	148	0.0190	0.0190	0.0037	0.0037	0.0026	0.0026	0.4, 0.6
c03	z-axis	0.0019	220	0.0259	0.0224	0.0052	0.0048	0.0035	0.0034	−0.0, 1.9
c04	z-axis	0.0013	181	0.0236	0.0200	0.0043	0.0039	0.0027	0.0025	1.3, 0.2
c05	z-axis	0.0026	146	0.0193	0.0164	0.0039	0.0038	0.0034	0.0034	−0.1, −2.6
c06	z-axis	0.0301	211	0.1059	0.1059	0.0289	0.0289	0.0251	0.0251	−14.3, 26.5
c01	c06	0.0301	173	0.0255	0.0255	0.0063	0.0063	0.0055	0.0055	15.8, −25.6

Table 3: Concentricity analysis of RV002.

Concentricity analysis of c01




Figure 23: Detailed plot of concentricity measurement for c01.

Concentricity analysis of c02




Figure 24: Detailed plot of concentricity measurement for c02.

Concentricity analysis of c03




Figure 25: Detailed plot of concentricity measurement for c03.

Concentricity analysis of c04




Figure 26: Detailed plot of concentricity measurement for c04.

Concentricity analysis of c05





Figure 27: Detailed plot of concentricity measurement for c05.



Concentricity analysis of c06



## Coaxiality

Coaxiality is a measure of the deviation in the central axis of an object. Coaxiality measurements are calculated using RANSAC (Random sample consensus) algorithm for outlier detection of a least squares circle regression on cross-sections of the vessel (excluding potential handles) to estimate the best fit circle centers for each slice of the vessel.


Coaxiality is measured for:

- The exterior surface (excluding handles)
- The interior surface

	Exterior		Interior	
<b>Analyzed Slices</b>		980		153
<b>Median sample size</b>		182		175
<b>Slice Height</b>	150 $\mu\text{m}$	5.9 thou	150 $\mu\text{m}$	5.9 thou
<b>Statistics with Z-axis as Reference</b>				
Median Absolute Deviation (MAD)	44 $\mu\text{m}$	1.7 thou	791 $\mu\text{m}$	31.1 thou
Standard Deviation (SD)	60 $\mu\text{m}$	2.4 thou	96 $\mu\text{m}$	3.8 thou
Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD)	84 $\mu\text{m}$	3.3 thou	814 $\mu\text{m}$	32.0 thou
<b>Statistics with Best Fit Central Axis as Reference</b>				
Best fit Central Axis Equation (in metric coordinate system with unit [mm])	$x = -0.015 + t \cdot 0.00013$		$x = 1.638 + t \cdot 0.01440$	
	$y = -0.002 + t \cdot 0.00012$		$y = 2.595 + t \cdot 0.01364$	
	$z = 0.000 + t \cdot 1.00000$		$z = 0.000 + t \cdot 0.99980$	
Axis tilt		$-0.008^\circ$		$0.814^\circ$
Median Absolute Deviation (MAD)	46 $\mu\text{m}$	1.8 thou	90 $\mu\text{m}$	3.5 thou
Standard Deviation (SD)	59 $\mu\text{m}$	2.3 thou	71 $\mu\text{m}$	2.8 thou
Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD)	82 $\mu\text{m}$	3.2 thou	122 $\mu\text{m}$	4.8 thou

Table 4: Coaxiality analysis of vessel RV002.

Coaxiality plots, exterior surface



Coaxiality residuals from fitted axis, exterior surface





Figure 29: Coaxiality residual plots of exterior surface, RV002.

## Coaxiality plots, interior surface



## Coaxiality residuals from fitted axis, interior surface




Figure 30: Coaxiality residual plots of interior surface, RV002.

## Surface Variability

To illustrate the overall surface deviations of the object, a surface variability heatmap has been created. This heatmap provides an accessible overview of the topography of the manufacturing precision and surface structure of the object.

The surface variability measurements are created by fitting a number of higher-order polynomials to the two-dimensional folded profile of the scan data. This process creates an idealized mathematical representation of actual surface curvature of object, and as such provides a continuous model representation of the actual object. It is important to note that only such a non-discretized representation is sufficient to avoid introducing inconsistently varying errors in the mapping of the final surface deviation results, that the rendered heatmaps are based on.

To produce the final surface variability map, the distance from each scanned vertex to the fitted polynomial is calculated and used as the mapping function input, for applying colours to the surface of the object.

It is important to note that this variability map does not describe deviations from the original *intended* shape of the artifact (if any), as this shape (the *intended design*, so to speak) will have been lost to time. It does however provide a very informative visualization of the texture and structure of the surface and very importantly, *does* highlight potential manufacturing-relevant patterns in the surface texture (if present). Such patterns are, as an example, clearly evident on the interior surface of artifact PV001.

Exterior surface




Figure 31: Surface variability heatmap of RV002, front view




Figure 32: Surface variability heatmap of RV002, rotated 90°




Figure 33: Surface variability heatmap of RV002, rotated 180°




Figure 34: Surface variability heatmap of RV002, rotated 270°

Interior surface




Figure 35: Surface variability heatmap of RV002, front view




Figure 36: Surface variability heatmap of RV002, rotated 90°






Figure 37: Surface variability heatmap of RV002, rotated 180°




Figure 38: Surface variability heatmap of RV002, rotated 270°

## Surface variability statistics

Area	MSD	RMSD	SD	Mean AD	Median AD	Range	Min	Max	Sample size
	mm <sup>2</sup>	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	
Exterior	0.0214	0.146	0.146	0.088	0.110	1.668	-1.082	0.586	184586
Interior	0.4486	0.670	0.670	0.546	0.573	3.305	-1.364	1.940	27794
	in <sup>2</sup>	in	in	in	in	in	in	in	
Exterior	0.000033	0.0058	0.0058	0.0035	0.0043	0.0657	-0.0426	0.0231	184586
Interior	0.000695	0.0264	0.0264	0.0215	0.0226	0.1301	-0.0537	0.0764	27794

Table 5: Surface variability statistics, RV002

Table 5 shows the statistics of the distance from the scan vertices to the best fit object model. These statistics are briefly explained below.

*Mean Squared Deviation* (MSD), also known as Mean Squared Error (MSE).

$$\text{MSD} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2}{n}$$

The MSD metric shows the the average squared difference between the scanned points and the fitted composite polynomial model (a value of 0 would be a perfect match). This metric emphasizes imperfections in the surface of the artifact. Outliers will negatively influence this metric, raising the value of the MSE.

*Root Mean Squared Deviation* (RMSD), also known as Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).

$$\text{RMSD} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2}{n}}$$

Measures the dispersion of the measured surface variability  $y_i$  around a model predictor ( $\hat{y}$ ). By obtaining the root of the MSD, the exponent will be removed from the measurement, enabling comparisons with other statistics of the same unit and making it more accessible to those familiar with the RMSD metric. This measure is used to assess the fit of a regression model to a dataset, in this case our best fit composite polynomial model. The lower the RMSD metric, the better the fit.

*Standard Deviation* (SD)

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{n - 1}}$$

Measures the dispersion of the measured surface variability  $y_i$  around the mean ( $\bar{y}$ ). If the residuals are normally distributed around the mean ( $\bar{y} \approx 0$ ), the SD will be equal to the RMSD. See Figure 39 and Figure 40

*Mean Absolute Deviation* (MeanAD)

$$\text{MeanAD} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - \bar{y}|}{n}$$

This metric is similar to the SD, but the difference between the residuals and the mean is *not* squared. Instead of indicating the spread of the data, we look at the average distance between each data point and the mean. The Mean Absolute Deviation is affected less by outliers than the Standard Deviation.

*Median Absolute Deviation* (MedianAD)

$$\text{MedianAD} = \text{median}(|y_i - \text{median}(y)|)$$

The Median Absolute Deviation is measure of the dispersion of the data around the median.

*Range*

$$\max(y_i) - \min(y_i)$$

Range is a measure of the total spread of the residuals

### Histogram, KDE and Box-plot of measured surface variability - exterior surface




Figure 39: Exterior surface variability boxplot, kds and histogram.

### Histogram, KDE and Box-plot of measured surface variability - interior surface




Figure 40: Interior surface variability boxplot, kds and histogram.

## Precision Score Of The Artifact

To enable valid comparison of the manufacturing precision of different artifacts, a metric that robustly quantifies the overall precision of the object is required. The considerations for such a metric will be explored in this section.

Based on these considerations, a *Precision Score* metric will be defined.

For an object to be described as having been manufactured with high precision, several qualities must be present *concurrently*, and throughout the *entire* geometry of the final object. A given object may exhibit high levels of one or more *components* of precision, but be lacking in others. For example:

- An object may present high levels of coaxiality, but lack circularity.
- An object may exhibit good circularity, but show imperfections in the surface structure.
- An object may be smoothed to perfection *without* any circularity or coaxiality.
- An object may exhibit high levels of all of the above metrics in *some* areas, but not in others.

Therefore, a precision score metric **must** account for *all* aspects of the individual, underlying precision metrics (circularity, concentricity, coaxiality and surface variability) throughout the *entire* surface area of the object.

The composite high order polynomial model, used to generate the surface variability map (described in Surface Variability, p. 33) is the best continuous mathematical representation of the object available to us (lacking any original design plans, as would normally be available in metrological analysis). This idealized model encompasses all of the above component metrics.

In the creation of the model, all scan data-points are taken into account (excluding areas with extensive damage), making it the best possible idealized representation we can achieve. When this model has been accurately created, the deviation between the model and the scanned data-points can be calculated over the non-discretized polynomials, *without* the need for an “original” CAD model (and importantly, unless such a CAD model *actually* corresponded to the original design intent, it would be an insufficient comparison basis).

Within the context of defining a valid, overall precision metric, this approach satisfies the incorporation of all of the necessary metrics:

- **Circularity:** Because the reconstructed polynomial model is revolved around the Z-plane, the idealized representation is perfectly circular, and thus incorporates the circularity component.
- **Concentricity and coaxiality:** Because the Z-axis (datum axis) is the center axis of the model, it incorporates the concentricity and coaxiality components.
- **Surface variability:** Because the model is continuous and non-discretized, it can be used accurately for all points of the scan data, and incorporates the surface variability component.

The level of precision ultimately achieved in a physical object does not share a linear relationship with its manufacturing requirements. Since continuously higher levels of final precision becomes progressively harder to achieve, an overall precision metric must take this relationship into account.

A robust statistical metric that satisfies this requirement is the *Mean Squared Deviation* (MSD or MSE). Here specifically, we can utilize the mean square of the deviations between the model ( $\hat{y}$ ) and the data-points ( $y_i$ ).

Combining all of the above considerations, we can express a well-defined *Precision Score* metric, that provides an immediately accessible way to understand the overall precision of an object, while being statistically valid. Since the Mean Squared Deviation tends towards zero as the overall precision increases, the inverse of the Mean Squared Deviation is taken to obtain a precision score metric that increases as precision increases<sup>12</sup>:

$$\text{Precision Score} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2}$$

---











<sup>12</sup>The precision score unit is  $\frac{1}{\text{mm}^2}$

A precision score will be calculated separately for:

- The exterior surface
- The interior surface
- The full surface

As most scans do not include sufficient scan data for the interior surface, the exterior surface will be used for calculating the precision score in most cases. In the rare case that the scan data is more complete for the *interior* surface, this will be used instead.

Table 6 shows the precision score of this artifact (RV002), compared to the two most precise, and the two least precise vessels currently analyzed.

Artifact			Material	Precision Score	Link to Report
		PV001	Red Granite	1905  Full: 980 Exterior: 1905 Interior: 705	Report Publication
		PV006	Dark grey granite	621  Full: 521 Exterior: 621 Interior: 152	Report Publication
		RV002	Diorite	47  Full: 13 Exterior: 47 Interior: 2.23	Report Publication
		MV001	Pottery	1.93  Full: 1.92 Exterior: 1.93 Interior: 1.85	Report Publication
		MV010	Calcite (Egyptian Alabaster)	1.12  Full: 0.64 Exterior: 1.12 Interior: 0.20	Report Publication

# Analysis Roadmap

While the current iteration of this work already provides valuable results, continued future additions and improvements will enhance their utility further. This section details planned iterative updates and improvements, to both the reports themselves, and to the underlying methodology and software they are created with.

## Alignment Section

- Detailed exploration of different circle regression algorithms
- If handles are present on the vessel, exploring alignment of the vessels so the handle positions match each other
- Add optimization of the perpendicular surface deviation, with the best results of the coaxial alignment
- Align by minimizing circularity results (of rotated sample slice, to compensate for sample height distortions)

## Measurements of Precision

- Section detailing how measurements perpendicular to the surface curvature are obtained
- Detailed surface area analysis, exploring the residual patterns throughout subsequent sample slices of the artifact surface
- Wall thickness deviation color map
- Robust outlier identification on circularity, to better handle analysis of damaged areas of the artifacts in addition to removal of interior crystalline structure points present in CT scans
- Layout updates to the charts and tables

## Visibility of Outliers and Damaged Sections

- Identification and marking of damaged parts
- Visualization of outliers on the artifact surface

## Exploration of Mathematical Primitives

- Analysis of selected curvatures and flat surfaces on the vessel in both the horizontal and vertical planes
  - Circles
  - Parabolas
  - Ellipsoids
  - Hyperbolas
  - Cones
- Implementation of robust regressions models suitable for this domain, based on RANSAC.

## Metrics on Primary Features

- Measurements of features in the horizontal plane
- Measurements of features in the vertical plane
- Measurements of angles
- Measurements of volume

## Exploration of Potential Design Ratios


- $\pi$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $e$ , 1, 2, 3, 4 etc.

## Raw Dataset Attachments

- Including all measurement and sample coordinates as CSV-files embedded in the report
- Including an STL file of the aligned object alongside the report, for easier external replication and validation of the research results

# Appendix A - Comparison Of Circularity Measurements (Z-plane vs. surface-perpendicular)

## Comparison of circularity samples



### Samples perpendicular to the surface curvature


Tag	Area	Measured deviation <sup>8</sup>	Residuals				Sample size	Slice		
			Range	RMSD <sup>9</sup>	MAD <sup>10</sup>	SD		Height	Z coord.	Radius <sup>11</sup>
		mm	mm	mm	mm	mm		mm	mm	mm
c01	exterior	Ø60.651±0.371	0.648	0.159	0.138	0.159	173	0.150	136.935	30.326
c02	exterior	Ø72.265±0.308	0.483	0.100	0.064	0.094	148	0.150	100.823	36.133
c03	exterior	Ø73.389±0.418	0.659	0.132	0.095	0.131	220	0.150	72.274	36.694
c04	exterior	Ø69.129±0.372	0.600	0.110	0.069	0.110	181	0.150	43.725	34.565
c05	exterior	Ø54.782±0.299	0.489	0.100	0.086	0.100	146	0.150	15.176	27.391
c06	interior	Ø45.311±1.442	2.689	0.733	0.623	0.735	211	0.150	136.935	22.655

Table 7: Detailed circularity measurements at selected samples in z-plane, vessel RV002.

### Samples in the Z-plane

Tag	Area	Measured deviation <sup>8</sup>	Residuals				Sample size	Slice		
			Range	RMSD <sup>9</sup>	MAD <sup>10</sup>	SD		Height	Z coord.	Radius <sup>11</sup>
		mm	mm	mm	mm	mm		mm	mm	mm
c01	exterior	Ø60.559±0.349	0.677	0.161	0.137	0.159	185	0.150	136.935	30.280
c02	exterior	Ø72.195±0.252	0.496	0.097	0.068	0.097	148	0.150	100.823	36.097
c03	exterior	Ø73.456±0.451	0.659	0.132	0.089	0.130	221	0.150	72.274	36.728
c04	exterior	Ø69.124±0.398	0.616	0.114	0.071	0.114	183	0.150	43.725	34.562
c05	exterior	Ø54.782±0.297	0.503	0.108	0.088	0.108	166	0.150	15.176	27.391
c06	interior	Ø45.230±1.483	2.689	0.734	0.622	0.735	224	0.150	136.935	22.615

Table 8: Detailed circularity measurements at selected samples perpendicular to vessel curvature, vessel RV002.



### Samples perpendicular to the surface curvature

Tag	Area	Measured deviation <sup>8</sup>	Residuals				Sample size	Slice		
			Range	RMSD <sup>9</sup>	MAD <sup>10</sup>	SD		Height	Z coord.	Radius <sup>11</sup>
		in	in	in	in	in		in	in	in
c01	exterior	Ø2.3878±0.0146	0.0255	0.0063	0.0054	0.0063	173	0.0059	5.3911	1.1939
c02	exterior	Ø2.8451±0.0121	0.0190	0.0039	0.0025	0.0037	148	0.0059	3.9694	1.4225
c03	exterior	Ø2.8893±0.0164	0.0259	0.0052	0.0037	0.0052	220	0.0059	2.8454	1.4447
c04	exterior	Ø2.7216±0.0146	0.0236	0.0043	0.0027	0.0043	181	0.0059	1.7214	1.3608
c05	exterior	Ø2.1568±0.0118	0.0193	0.0039	0.0034	0.0039	146	0.0059	0.5975	1.0784
c06	interior	Ø1.7839±0.0568	0.1059	0.0289	0.0245	0.0289	211	0.0059	5.3911	0.8919

Table 9: Detailed circularity measurements at selected samples in z-plane, vessel RV002.

### Samples in the Z-plane

Tag	Area	Measured deviation <sup>8</sup>	Residuals				Sample size	Slice		
			Range	RMSD <sup>9</sup>	MAD <sup>10</sup>	SD		Height	Z coord.	Radius <sup>11</sup>
		in	in	in	in	in		in	in	in
c01	exterior	Ø2.3842±0.0137	0.0267	0.0063	0.0054	0.0063	185	0.0059	5.3911	1.1921
c02	exterior	Ø2.8423±0.0099	0.0195	0.0038	0.0027	0.0038	148	0.0059	3.9694	1.4212
c03	exterior	Ø2.8920±0.0178	0.0259	0.0052	0.0035	0.0051	221	0.0059	2.8454	1.4460
c04	exterior	Ø2.7214±0.0157	0.0243	0.0045	0.0028	0.0045	183	0.0059	1.7214	1.3607
c05	exterior	Ø2.1568±0.0117	0.0198	0.0042	0.0035	0.0042	166	0.0059	0.5975	1.0784
c06	interior	Ø1.7807±0.0584	0.1059	0.0289	0.0245	0.0289	224	0.0059	5.3911	0.8904

Table 10: Detailed circularity measurements at selected samples perpendicular to vessel curvature, vessel RV002.



# Comparison of circularity on the full vessel surface

Metric

## Samples perpendicular to the surface curvature

Area	Range			Standard Deviation			Medan Absolute Deviation			Slices	Slice height
	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.		
	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm		mm
Exterior	0.533	0.302	1.784	0.112	0.058	0.446	0.014	0.026	0.351	980	0.150
Interior	2.477	1.702	3.022	0.666	0.514	0.855	0.052	0.295	0.875	153	0.150

Table 11: Detailed circularity measurements at selected samples in z-plane, vessel RV002.

## Samples in the z-plane

Area	Range			Standard Deviation			Medan Absolute Deviation			Slices	Slice height
	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.		
	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm		mm
Exterior	0.545	0.310	1.940	0.116	0.060	0.534	0.015	0.029	0.438	979	0.150
Interior	2.492	1.715	3.023	0.668	0.528	0.863	0.055	0.262	0.768	154	0.150

Table 12: Detailed circularity measurements at selected samples perpendicular to vessel curvature, vessel RV002.

Imperial

## Samples perpendicular to the surface curvature

Area	Range			Standard Deviation			Medan Absolute Deviation			Slices	Slice height
	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.		
	in	in	in	in	in	in	in	in	in		in
Exterior	0.533	0.302	1.784	0.112	0.058	0.446	0.014	0.026	0.351	980	0.150
Interior	2.477	1.702	3.022	0.666	0.514	0.855	0.052	0.295	0.875	153	0.150

Table 13: Detailed circularity measurements at selected samples in z-plane, vessel RV002.

## Samples in the z-plane

Area	Range			Standard Deviation			Medan Absolute Deviation			Slices	Slice height
	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.		
	in	in	in	in	in	in	in	in	in		in
Exterior	0.545	0.310	1.940	0.116	0.060	0.534	0.015	0.029	0.438	979	0.150
Interior	2.492	1.715	3.023	0.668	0.528	0.863	0.055	0.262	0.768	154	0.150

Table 14: Detailed circularity measurements at selected samples perpendicular to vessel curvature, vessel RV002.

Circularity analysis of exterior samples perpendicular to surface curvature




Figure 41: Circularity analysis of exterior samples perpendicular to surface curvature

Circularity analysis of exterior surface - in z-plane




Figure 42: Circularity analysis of exterior surface - in z-plane

Circularity analysis of exterior samples perpendicular to surface curvature




Figure 43: Circularity analysis of exterior samples perpendicular to surface curvature

Circularity analysis of exterior surface - in z-plane




Figure 44: Circularity analysis of exterior surface - in z-plane

Circularity analysis of interior samples perpendicular to surface curvature




Figure 45: Circularity analysis of interior samples perpendicular to surface curvature

Circularity analysis of interior surface - in z-plane




Figure 46: Circularity analysis of interior surface - in z-plane

Circularity analysis of interior samples perpendicular to surface curvature




Figure 47: Circularity analysis of interior samples perpendicular to surface curvature

Circularity analysis of interior surface - in z-plane




Figure 48: Circularity analysis of interior surface - in z-plane

# Appendix B - Comparison Of Concentricity Measurements (Z-plane vs. surface-perpendicular)

Metric

## Concentricity measurements perpendicular to surface curvature

Tag	Reference	Deviation	Sample size	Circle fit residuals analysis for sample listed in Tag column						
				Range full	Range inliers	SD full	SD inliers	MAD full	MAD inliers	Center (x,y)
		mm		mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	µm
c01	z-axis	0.045	173	0.648	0.648	0.159	0.159	0.140	0.140	40, 21
c02	z-axis	0.019	148	0.483	0.483	0.094	0.094	0.066	0.066	11, 15
c03	z-axis	0.049	220	0.659	0.570	0.131	0.123	0.089	0.087	−1, 49
c04	z-axis	0.033	181	0.600	0.509	0.110	0.100	0.068	0.063	33, 5
c05	z-axis	0.065	146	0.489	0.416	0.100	0.097	0.086	0.085	−3, −65
c06	z-axis	0.764	211	2.689	2.689	0.735	0.735	0.637	0.637	−362, 673
c01	c06	0.766	173	0.648	0.648	0.159	0.159	0.140	0.140	402, −651

## Concentricity measurements in z-plane

Tag	Reference	Deviation	Sample size	Circle fit residuals analysis for sample listed in Tag column						
				Range full	Range inliers	SD full	SD inliers	MAD full	MAD inliers	Center (x,y)
		mm		mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	µm
c01	z-axis	0.050	185	0.669	0.669	0.167	0.167	0.132	0.132	40, 30
c02	z-axis	0.013	148	0.490	0.490	0.098	0.098	0.069	0.069	8, 11
c03	z-axis	0.045	221	0.666	0.578	0.143	0.137	0.107	0.105	−1, 45
c04	z-axis	0.034	183	0.622	0.506	0.115	0.100	0.073	0.072	34, 5
c05	z-axis	0.067	166	0.622	0.622	0.145	0.144	0.125	0.125	0, −67
c06	z-axis	0.766	224	3.990	3.990	1.096	1.096	0.961	0.961	−365, 673
c01	c06	0.760	185	0.669	0.669	0.167	0.167	0.132	0.132	405, −643

# Imperial

## Concentricity measurements perpendicular to surface curvature

Tag	Reference	Deviation	Sample size	Circle fit residuals analysis for sample listed in Tag column						
				Range full	Range inliers	SD full	SD inliers	MAD full	MAD inliers	Center (x,y)
		in		in	in	in	in	in	in	thou
c01	z-axis	0.0018	173	0.0255	0.0255	0.0063	0.0063	0.0055	0.0055	1.6, 0.8
c02	z-axis	0.0007	148	0.0190	0.0190	0.0037	0.0037	0.0026	0.0026	0.4, 0.6
c03	z-axis	0.0019	220	0.0259	0.0224	0.0052	0.0048	0.0035	0.0034	−0.0, 1.9
c04	z-axis	0.0013	181	0.0236	0.0200	0.0043	0.0039	0.0027	0.0025	1.3, 0.2
c05	z-axis	0.0026	146	0.0193	0.0164	0.0039	0.0038	0.0034	0.0034	−0.1, −2.6
c06	z-axis	0.0301	211	0.1059	0.1059	0.0289	0.0289	0.0251	0.0251	−14.3, 26.5
c01	c06	0.0301	173	0.0255	0.0255	0.0063	0.0063	0.0055	0.0055	15.8, −25.6

## Concentricity measurements in z-plane

Tag	Reference	Deviation	Sample size	Circle fit residuals analysis for sample listed in Tag column						
				Range full	Range inliers	SD full	SD inliers	MAD full	MAD inliers	Center (x,y)
		in		in	in	in	in	in	in	thou
c01	z-axis	0.0020	185	0.0263	0.0263	0.0066	0.0066	0.0052	0.0052	1.6, 1.2
c02	z-axis	0.0005	148	0.0193	0.0193	0.0039	0.0039	0.0027	0.0027	0.3, 0.4
c03	z-axis	0.0018	221	0.0262	0.0228	0.0056	0.0054	0.0042	0.0041	−0.0, 1.8
c04	z-axis	0.0014	183	0.0245	0.0199	0.0045	0.0039	0.0029	0.0028	1.3, 0.2
c05	z-axis	0.0026	166	0.0245	0.0245	0.0057	0.0057	0.0049	0.0049	0.0, −2.6
c06	z-axis	0.0301	224	0.1571	0.1571	0.0432	0.0432	0.0378	0.0378	−14.4, 26.5
c01	c06	0.0299	185	0.0263	0.0263	0.0066	0.0066	0.0052	0.0052	15.9, −25.3